This homework is due on April 20, 9am ET.

You are welcome to work with others, however you must explicitly list all collaborators and materials that you used. You must write up your own solution and your own code to every problem. See Georgetown University Honor System. When in doubt, ask the instructor what is allowed.

Spring 2021	Homework 4	Sasha Golovney
Gems of TCS	Due $4/20/2021$	Georgetown University

**Problem 1** (NP-completeness of 3-SAT). In Lecture 15, we proved that the Satisfiability problem (SAT) is NP-complete. The goal of this exercise if to prove that even the special case of SAT where all clauses have length at most 3 is still NP-complete. For this, we need to design a polynomial-time reduction from SAT to 3-SAT.

Provide a polynomial-time algorithm that takes as input a SAT formula  $\phi$  (with arbitrarily long clauses) and outputs an equisatisfiable 3-SAT formula  $\psi$ . Note that this algorithm is allowed to introduce new variables, the only requirements are that (i) the algorithm runs in polynomial time, (ii) every clause of  $\psi$  has length at most 3, (iii) there exists an assignment to the variables of  $\phi$  satisfying  $\phi$  if and only if there exists an assignment to the variables of  $\psi$  satisfying  $\psi$ . Prove that your algorithm satisfies all three requirements.

Spring 2021	Homework 4	Sasha Golovnev
Gems of TCS	Due 4/20/2021	Georgetown University

**Problem 2** (Search version of SAT). Assume that there is a polynomial-time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  that *decides* if a SAT formula is satisfiable. That is, for every SAT formula  $\phi$ , if there is an assignment to the *n* variables of  $\phi$  satisfying  $\phi$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(\phi) = 1$ , otherwise  $\mathcal{A}(\phi) = 0$ . Use the algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ , to design a polynomial-time algorithm  $\mathcal{A}'$  that *finds* a satisfying  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  assignment for any satisfiable SAT formula (and outputs 0 for unsatisfiable formulas). Prove that your algorithm is correct.

Spring 2021	Homework 4	Sasha Golovnev
Gems of TCS	Due $4/20/2021$	Georgetown University

**Problem 3** (From Las Vegas to Monte Carlo). In this exercise, we'll show that a Las Vegas algorithm for a problem implies a Monte Carlo algorithm for the same problem.

Assume that there is a randomized algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  that always correctly computer the function  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}$  in expected time T(n). That is,

- for every input  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(x) = f(x)$ ;
- for every input  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ , let the random variable T(x) be the running time of the algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$  on input x. Then for every  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_x[T(x)] = n^c$ .

Use Markov's inequality (from Lecture 3) to design a randomized algorithm  $\mathcal{A}'$  that always stops after  $O(n^c)$  operations, and for every x, computes  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  with probability  $\geq 2/3$ . Prove that your algorithm works for every input x (rather than for 2/3 of the inputs).